## Two New Alkaloids from the Aerial Part of Peganum nigellastrum

by Fei Yang, Rong Chen\*, Lin Feng, Hai-Dao Li, and Hua Zhang

Jiangsu Simcere Pharmaceutical R&D Co., Ltd., No. 699-18 Xuanwu Avenue, Xuanwu District, Nanjing 210042, P. R. China

(phone: +862585566666-1617; fax: +862585283040; e-mail: blueoceanrr@yahoo.com.cn)

Two new alkaloids, pegamine  $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (1) and 2-deoxypeganylacetic acid (2), together with the novel 3,4-dihydro-4-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxylic acid (3), were isolated from the aerial part of *Peganum nigellastrum*. The structures of these compounds were elucidated on the basis of spectroscopic analyses, including 1D- and 2D-NMR, and ESI-MS/MS.

**Introduction.** – *Peganum nigellastrum* BUNGE, family Zygophyllaceae, is widely distributed over Asia, and commonly occurs in Xinjiang, Gansu, Inner Mongolia, and Shanxi, P. R. China. The whole plants or seeds of *P. nigellastrum* have been used for a long time in the treatment of rheumatism, irregular menstruation, cough, asthma *etc.* [1]. Previous investigations on *P. nigellastrum* led to the isolation of a series of luotonin alkaloids [2], and some of them showed good cytotoxic activity against mouse leukemia cells (P-388), and inhibitory activity against topoisomerase I and II [3]. To find further potentially bioactive alkaloids, we studied the EtOH extract of the aerial part of *P. nigellastrum*, and obtained two new alkaloids, **1** and **2**, and one new dihydronaph-thalene-carboxylic acid, **3**. Here, we describe the isolation and structure elucidation of these three new compounds.



**Results and Discussion.** – Compound **1** was obtained as a colorless, amorphous solid, and showed a positive reaction in *Dragendorff*'s test. The ESI-MS/MS peaks at m/z 367 ( $[M + H]^+$ ), 365 ( $[M - H]^-$ ), 205 ( $[M + H - glucose]^+$ ) indicated that the

1) Arbitrary numbering. For systematic names, see Exper. Part.

<sup>© 2011</sup> Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

structure contained one hexose unit, and an even number of N-atoms. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra displayed typical signals for an *ortho*-disubstituted benzene ring at  $\delta(H)$  8.18 (*dd*, J = 8.1, 1.1, 1 H), 7.48 (*dt*, J = 8.1, 1.1, 1 H), 7.79 (*dt*, J = 8.1, 1.5, 1 H), and 7.64 (*d*, J = 8.1, 1 H), one anomeric H-atom of a sugar moiety at  $\delta(H)$  4.28 (*d*, J = 7.8), and two CH<sub>2</sub> groups at  $\delta(H)$  2.80–2.87 (CH<sub>2</sub>(11)) and 2.09–2.14 (CH<sub>2</sub>(12)). In the region between  $\delta(H)$  3.1–4.0, except the signals of six non-anomeric H-atoms, two signals at  $\delta(H)$  3.68–3.71 (*m*, 1 H) and 3.96–4.01 (*m*, 1 H) were assigned to the two H-atoms of a CH<sub>2</sub>O group *via* their HSQC correlations with  $\delta(C)$  69.5 (*t*, CH<sub>2</sub>(13)) (*Table 1*).

Table 1. <sup>*i*</sup>*H*- and <sup>*i*3</sup>*C*-*NMR* Data of Compound 1<sup>1</sup>).  $\delta$  in ppm, J in Hz. Arbitrary C-atom numbering as indicated in the formula.

	$\delta(\mathrm{H})^{\mathrm{a}})$	$\delta(C)^{b})$
C(2)		159.4 (s)
C(4)		164.4(s)
C(5)		121.8(s)
H–C(6)	8.18 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.1)	127.1(d)
H-C(7)	7.48 $(dt, J = 8.1, 1.1)$	127.6(d)
H–C(8)	7.79 $(dt, J = 8.1, 1.5)$	135.9 (d)
H-C(9)	7.64 (d, J = 8.1)	127.4(d)
C(10)		150.0(s)
CH <sub>2</sub> (11)	2.80-2.87(m)	33.0(t)
$CH_{2}(12)$	2.09 - 2.14(m)	28.9(t)
$H_a - C(13)$	3.68 - 3.71 (m)	69.5(t)
$H_{b}-C(13)$	3.96 - 4.01 (m)	
H-C(1')	4.28 (d, J = 7.8)	104.4(d)
H–C(2')	3.15 (dd, J = 7.8, 9.1)	75.1 (d)
H–C(3')	3.34–3.37 ( <i>m</i> )	78.0(d)
H-C(4')	3.26 - 3.27 (m)	71.6(d)
H–C(5')	3.27 - 3.28(m)	78.1 (d)
$H_a - C(6')$	3.66 - 3.67 (m)	62.7(t)
H <sub>b</sub> -C(6')	3.86 (dd, J = 12.0, 1.5)	
<sup>a</sup> ) Recorded at 500 MHz	in CD <sub>3</sub> OD. <sup>b</sup> ) Recorded at 125 MHz in CD <sub>3</sub> OD.	

The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra showed 15 C-atom signals directly, and another two at  $\delta(C)$  164.4, 150.0 could be detected by the HMBC spectrum. Of these 17 C-atom signals, those at  $\delta(C)$  104.4 (*d*, C(1')), 78.1 (*d*, C(5')), 78.0 (*d*, C(3')), 75.1 (*d*, C(2')), 71.6 (*d*, C(4')), and 62.7 (*t*, C(6')) indicated that the hexose was glucose [4]. The characteristic signals at  $\delta(C)$  164.4 (*s*, C(4)), 159.4 (*s*, C(2)), 150.0 (*s*, C(10)), 135.9 (*d*, C(8)), 127.6 (*d*, C(7)), 127.4 (*d*, C(9)), 127.1 (*d*, C(6)), 121.8 (*s*, C(5)) indicated the presence of a quinazolone system, containing a vicinally disubstituted benzene ring.

In the HMBC spectrum (*Fig. 1*), the correlations H–C(11)/C(2) and C(13), H–C(12)/C(2) and C(13), and H–C(6)/C(4) confirmed the aglycone moiety of compound **1** to be pegamine [5] (obtained from the *P. nigellastrum* before). The correlation H–C(1')/C(13) suggested that the glucose is connected to C(13) directly, and that it has a  $\beta$ -D-glycoside linkage according to the coupling constant of the anomeric H-atom (J=7.8 Hz) and the <sup>13</sup>C-shift of the anomeric C-atom ( $\delta$ (C) 104.4).



Therefore, the structure of compound **1** was identified as pegamine  $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside.

Compound **2** was obtained as white powder, and showed a positive reaction in the *Dragendorff* test. On the basis of ESI-MS (231 ( $[M + H]^+$ ), 253 ( $[M + Na]^+$ ), 229 ( $[M - H]^-$ )), and <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra, the molecular formula was determined as C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

In the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra, the signals of three couples of H-atoms were observed at  $\delta(H) 3.67-3.72$  and 4.03-4.09 (CH<sub>2</sub>(11)), 2.18-2.26 and 2.27-2.31 (CH<sub>2</sub>(12)), and 2.92-2.96 and 3.00-3.08 (CH<sub>2</sub>(13)), indicating the presence of a -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-group. The coupling correlations of H-atoms at  $\delta(H) 5.30$  (t, J = 5.1, 1 H) and 2.72 (d, J = 5.1, 2 H) suggested a -CH-CH<sub>2</sub>-COOH group.

The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra showed the characteristic signals of quinazoline at  $\delta$ (C) 164.4 (C(2)), 56.5 (C(4)), 117.9 (C(5)), 128.1 (C(6)), 128.0 (C(7)), 130.0 (C(8)), 127.8 (C(9)), and 143.7 (C(10)), and the signals of four H-atoms at  $\delta$ (H) 7.28–7.30 (*m*, 1 H), 7.25–7.27 (*m*, 1 H), 7.21 (*t*, *J* = 7.5, 1 H), and 6.96–6.98 (*m*, 1 H) confirmed the quinazoline skeleton (*Table 2*). These evidences suggested that compound **2** is a deoxypeganine [6] (obtained from *P. nigellastrum* before) that was substituted by –CH–CH<sub>2</sub>–COOH at C(4). We named it 2-deoxypeganylacetic acid.

Compound **3** was obtained as white powder. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra exhibited the typical signals of vicinally disubstituted benzene ring at  $\delta$ (H) 7.69 (d, J = 7.0, 1 H), 7.35 (d, J = 7.0, 1 H), 7.11 (dt, J = 7.0, 1.0, 1 H), and 7.04 (dt, J = 7.0, 1 H). The couplings of H-atoms with the signals at  $\delta$ (H) 3.85 (dd, J = 9.0, 4.0, 1 H) (H–C(4)), 3.51 (dd, J = 15.0, 4.0, 1 H), and 3.14 (dd, J = 15.0, 9.0, 1 H) (CH<sub>2</sub>(3)), and their HSQC correlations (*Table 3*) indicated the presence of a –CH<sub>2</sub>–CH(OH)– group. In the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ (C) 174.4 (s, C(11)) corresponds to a COOH group; the signals at  $\delta$ (C) 125.1 (d, C(1)) and 109.6 (s, C(2)), and the HSQC correlations between  $\delta$ (C) 125.1 and  $\delta$ (H) 7.19 (s, 1 H) suggested a –C=CH– group.

The HMBC correlations (*Fig. 2*) H-C(1)/C(3) and C(10), H-C(3)/C(11), and H-C(4)/C(2) indicated a dihydronaphthalene for the structure of compound **3** [7], substituted at C(2) by a COOH group and at C(4) by a OH group. This assignment was confirmed by the NOESY correlations H-C(5)/H-C(6), H-C(4), and H-C(3); and H-C(1)/H-C(3) and H-C(4) (*Fig. 2*). Thus, the structure of compound **3** was unequivocally determined as 3,4-dihydro-4-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxylic acid.

	$\delta(\mathrm{H})^{\mathrm{a}})$	$\delta(\mathrm{C})^{\mathrm{b}}$
C(2)		164.4
H–C(4)	5.30 $(t, J = 5.1)$	56.5
C(5)		117.9
H–C(6)	7.25 - 7.27 (m)	128.1
H–C(7)	7.21 $(t, J = 7.5)$	128.0
H–C(8)	7.28 - 7.30(m)	130.0
H–C(9)	6.96 - 6.98(m)	127.8
C(10)		143.7
$H_{a}-C(11)$	3.67 - 3.72 (m)	44.6
$H_{b}-C(11)$	4.03 - 4.09(m)	
$H_{a}-C(12)$	2.18 - 2.26(m)	19.7
$H_{b}-C(12)$	2.27 - 2.31 (m)	
$H_{a}-C(13)$	2.92 - 2.96(m)	31.4
$H_{b}-C(13)$	3.00 - 3.08(m)	
CH <sub>2</sub> (14)	2.72 (d, J = 5.1)	53.1
C(15)		176.9

Table 2. <sup>*I*</sup>*H- and* <sup>*I*</sup><sup>3</sup>*C-NMR Data of Compound* **2**<sup>1</sup>).  $\delta$  in ppm, *J* in Hz. Arbitrary C-atom numbering as indicated in the formula.

Table 3. <sup>1</sup>*H- and* <sup>13</sup>*C-NMR Data of Compound* **3**<sup>1</sup>).  $\delta$  in ppm, *J* in Hz. Arbitrary C-atom numbering as indicated in formula.

	$\delta({ m H})^{ m a})$	$\delta(\mathrm{C})^{\mathrm{b}})$
H–C(1)	7.19 (s)	125.1 (d)
C(2)		109.6(s)
$H_a - C(3)$	3.14 (dd, J = 15.0, 9.0)	28.5(t)
$H_b-C(3)$	3.51 (dd, J = 15.0, 4.0)	
H-C(4)	3.85 (dd, J = 9.0, 4.0)	56.7 (d)
H-C(5)	7.69 (d, J = 7.0)	119.3 (d)
H–C(6)	7.04 (dt, J = 7.0, 1.0)	120.1(d)
H–C(7)	7.11 $(dt, J = 7.0, 1.0)$	122.7(d)
H–C(8)	7.35 (d, J = 7.0)	112.4(d)
C(9)		128.5(s)
C(10)		138.4(s)
C(11)		174.4(s)

<sup>a</sup>) Recorded at 500 MHz in CD<sub>3</sub>OD. <sup>b</sup>) Recorded at 75 MHz in CD<sub>3</sub>OD.



Fig. 2. Key HMBC  $(H \rightarrow C)$  and NOESY  $(H \leftrightarrow H)$  correlations of 3

The authors would like to thank the *Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province of China* (Grant No. BK2009470) for financial support.

## **Experimental Part**

General. TLC: precoated SiO<sub>2</sub> GF<sub>254</sub> plates (Qingdao Marine Chemical Plant, Qingdao, P. R. China). Column chromatography (CC): silica gel (SiO<sub>2</sub>; 100–200 mesh, 200–300 mesh; Qingdao Marine Chemical Plant, Qingdao, P. R. China), Sephadex LH-20 (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, USA), and YMC\*GEL<sup>®</sup> ODS-A (500 mesh, YMC Co., Ltd., Japan). <sup>1</sup>H-, <sup>13</sup>C-, and 2D-NMR Spectra: Bruker-AV-500 and Bruker-AV-300 spectrometers;  $\delta$  in ppm rel. to Me<sub>4</sub>Si, J in Hz. MS: Agilent-1100-JC/MSD-Trap (ESI-MS-MS) spectrometer; in m/z.

*Plant Material. P. nigellastrum* aerial parts were collected from Minqin of Gansu Province, P. R. China. A voucher specimen was identified by Prof. *X.-H. Song* (China Pharmaceutical University) and has been deposited with the Herbarium of China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing, P. R. China (reference No. 200701208).

*Extraction and Isolation.* The dried and powdered aerial parts (75 kg) of *P. nigellastrum* were percolated three times successively with 95% EtOH at  $70-80^{\circ}$ . The combined extract was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a dark brown residue (15 kg). This extract (2.9 kg) was subjected to CC (SiO<sub>2</sub> (100-200 mesh); petroleum ether (PE), AcOEt, AcOEt/MeOH 1:1, and MeOH). The AcOEt/ MeOH and MeOH fractions were monitored by TLC, and grouped in four major fractions, *Frs. 1-4*. Compounds **1** (45 mg) and **3** (5 mg) were purified from *Fr. 2* (530 g), by repeated CC (SiO<sub>2</sub> (200-300 mesh), *Sephadex LH-20*, and *ODS-A*). *Fr. 4* was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure, then acidified with 2% HCl, filtered, and the aq. acid soln. was made alkaline with 25% NH<sub>4</sub>OH to pH 10. The alkaline soln. was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and BuOH successively. The BuOH fraction (50 g) was subjected to repeated CC (SiO<sub>2</sub> (200-300 mesh) and *Sephadex LH-20*) to give compound **2** (46 mg).

*Pegamine* β-D-*Glucopyranoside* (=3-(3,4-*Dihydro-4-oxoquinazolin-2-yl)propyl* β-D-*Glucopyrano-side*; **1**). Colorless, amorphous solid. <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: see *Table 1*. ESI-MS-MS: 367 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>), 365 ([M-H]<sup>-</sup>), 205 ([M+H – glucose]<sup>+</sup>).

2-Deoxypeganylacetic Acid (=(1,2,3,9-Tetrahydropyrrolo[2,1-b]quinazolin-9-yl)acetic Acid; 2). White powder. <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: see Table 2. ESI-MS: 231 ( $[M + H]^+$ ), 253 ( $[M + Na]^+$ ), 229 ( $[M - H]^-$ ).

3,4-Dihydro-4-hydroxynaphthalene-2-carboxylic Acid (3). White powder. <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: Table 3.

## REFERENCES

- 'Delectis Florae Reipublicae Popularis Sinicae Agendae Academiae Sinicae Edita', in 'Florae Reipublicae Popularis Sinicae', Science Press, Beijing, 1998, Vol. 43, p. 125.
- [2] Z.-Z. Ma, Y. Hano, T. Nomura, Y.-J. Chen, *Heterocycles* 1997, 46, 541; Z.-Z. Ma, Y. Hano, T. Nomura, Y.-J. Chen, *Heterocycles* 1999, 51, 1883; Z.-Z. Ma, Y. Hano, T. Nomura, Y.-J. Chen, *Phytochemistry* 2000, 53, 1075.
- [3] Z.-Z. Ma, Y. Hano, T. Nomura, *Heterocycles* 2005, 65, 2203.
- [4] W.-G. Ma, N. Fuzzati, J.-L. Wolfender, K. Hostettmann, C.-R. Yang, Helv. Chim. Acta 1994, 77, 1660.
- [5] S. B. Mhaske, N. P. Argade, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 9038.
- [6] T. S. Tulyaganov, Chem. Nat. Compd. 1993, 29, 73.
- [7] W. G. Ma, Y. Fukushi, B. Ducrey, K. Hostettmann, S. Tahara, Phytochemistry 1999, 51, 1087.

Received July 5, 2010